

To: Hay, Bernward[BHay@louisberger.com]; O'donnell, James[james.odonnell@uconn.edu];
Salvatore, Joseph R.[Joseph.Salvatore@ct.gov]; 'george.wisker@ct.gov'[george.wisker@ct.gov]
From: Brochi, Jean
Sent: Fri 12/13/2013 8:52:01 PM
ELIS SEIS - Scoping Meetings 3+4 - Report DRAFT (15Oct13) MK.pdf
ELIS SEIS - TOC (DRAFT)-101313) MK .pdf
LBG major milestonsv121313.xlsx

Hi,

Attached please find my comments on your deliverable table.

For now the Fact sheet is on hold and has been on hold for sometime.

The fact sheet will be revisited prior to holding the spring/summer public meetings

In addition to this list, Joe and I will discuss the Phase II scope on Monday.

I am available next week to followup on any items.

Also attached is a revised schedule . This is a draft schedule I created. We can discuss as a team.

thanks,Jeannie

-----additional comments on the TOC:

TOC

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

1) The titles of the SEIS for the Table of Contents and the Report of Public Scoping Meetings 3 (Riverhead, NY) and 4 (Groton, NY) are to include “Potential” to precede “Designation”. The inclusion of “potential” provides an accurate description of the SEIS and is consistent with the EPA issued public notice found in the Report of Public Scoping Meetings 3 (Riverhead, NY) and 4 (Groton, NY).

2) In the SEIS Table of Contents, footnote 1 indicates that section 1.2.7 LIS Dredged Material Management Plan could be included in section 1.2.6. USACE Permitting Process. The eventual LIS Dredged Material Management Plan will not be a permit for any project to proceed with work but it is a plan addressing the management of dredged material and alternatives to open water disposal and does not belong under a section that is entitled “USACE Permitting Process”. Please remove footnote 1.

3) Please add a section 3.2.9 “Sediment Loading/Reduction” to reflect the information gathered by CT and NY on this topic. * We will talk about this, do not make this change right now.

4) Under section 3.4.3.1., what is Site “A”? and TBD? At this point in the process, all potentially identified sites are to be “TBD”. Please also see section 5.5 with this same comment. * we will talk about this comment, disregard for right now:

5) The second page of the Report of Public Scoping Meetings 3 (Riverhead, NY) and 4 (Groton, NY) needs to be updated to reflect meetings 3 and 4 (currently still 1 and 2).

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

7) Also on page 3 of the Report of Public Scoping Meetings 3 (Riverhead, NY) and 4 (Groton, NY) under the Introduction section, please add in “financially” to precede “supported” in the last sentence of this section.

8) On page 3 of the Report of Public Scoping Meetings 3 (Riverhead, NY) and 4 (Groton, NY) under the Public Scoping Meeting section, please add “2013” after January 9.